IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 20030 of 2004

Between:

All India Private ITIs Council, Khammam, rep. by its Ad hoc Member A. Seetharama Sarma, S/o Venkataratnam, aged 70 years, R/o Khammam, Khammam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Secretary, Employment and Training Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 Commissioner of Employment and Training, Andhra Pradesh, B.R.K.Bhavan, Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, order or direction declaring the action of the Respondents in not giving wide notification inviting applications from the candidates intending to pursue ITI courses in the left over seats in Government ITIs and Private ITCs in Andhra Pradesh with sufficient time to apply as illegal arbitrary etc., and grant such other relief or reliefs.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.V.L.N.SARMA

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR EMPLOYMENT & TRAINING

The Court made the following :

O R D E R:

The writ petition has been filed by a body claiming to be the All India Private ITIs Council, Khammam, represented by its Ad hoc Member-A. Seetarama Sarma. In paragraph 3 of the affidavit, it is stated that the petitioner Council was established in June, 2004 for securing the interests of private ITCs throughout India and especially in the state of Andhra Pradesh and give necessary suggestions in the matter of syllabus, conducting of examinations and other academic matters etc.,. Nothing is pleaded or demonstrated before this Court as to whether the petitioner body has been registered, how many ITIs in the country or in the State are its members, whether the petitioner has been elected or how he comes to style himself as an Ad hoc Member of the petitioner Council.

The writ petition is filed for a declaration that the action of the respondents in not giving wide notification while inviting applications from the intending candidates for pursuit of ITI courses in the left over seats in Government ITIs and private ITCs in Andhra Pradesh, is

illegal.

The petitioner is seen to have no *locus standi.* The writ petition cannot be pursued by such an amorphous body.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

(GODA RAGHURAM, J)

Date: 15-12-2004

ghn

To

1 The Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Employment and

Training Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 The Commissioner of Employment and Training, Andhra Pradesh,
B.R.K.Bhavan, Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

3 Two CCs to G.P. for Employment and Training, High Court buildings,

Hyderabad.(O.U.T.)

4 Two CD copies